

Chapter 1 : Model theory - Wikipedia

This book is a modern introduction to model theory which stresses applications to algebra throughout the text. The first half of the book includes classical material on model construction techniques, type spaces, prime models, saturated models, countable models, and indiscernibles and their applications.

Skip to content Manzano: The book is therefore an obvious candidate recommendation for someone with a background knowledge of FOL who wants to ease into studying this area of mathematical logic. That aside, how well does the book work? The examples are the usual ones, and will be very familiar to mathematicians if not to philosophers, who might have appreciated a few slightly more filled-out explanations. There are some slightly odd choices of notation in the examples, and a minor presentational glitch when the first examples of types signatures of structures on p . It perhaps bears comment that Manzano defines a structure at the outset starting like this: So why not say: Exactly what work does invoking the formal set talk which Manzano uses do here? It would be most unfair to pick out Manzano for especial criticism here, but she is glossing over something worth discussing: You might have thought that model theorists would want to talk about this at least a little at the outset! One other minor comment. Manzano cheerfully asserts without comment that e . Readers who have already been taught to identify and with certain sets will balk at that. Others of her readers better brought up? It strikes me as odd in a book that is all about structures not at least to flag up that there are issues here that might worry those with a structuralist bent. Better, perhaps, just to keep everyone happy by saying that is isomorphic to a substructure of \mathcal{M} , and the like. Manzano then describes some specific languages, and proves a few results \hat{e} . She writes, as you would expect, that a formula is valid just if for every structure and every assignment the structure together with the assignment satisfies. Officially, a structure is a triple whose first member is a non-empty set: And indeed, she has structures whose domains contain natural numbers, symbols, truth-values, etc. So, at least at this point in the book, in quantifying over all structures we seem to be quantifying over tuples built from impure sets; but what impure sets are there? That depends, presumably, what objects there are to put into impure sets. But the very idea of an object here is, to say the least murky. Well, that might not matter: First, of course, Manzano has to give a deductive system to prove complete! She choses to adopt the sequent calculus from Ebbinghaus, Flum and Thomas. Much more seriously, many would say that their shared system of rules runs together a classical logical rule for the connectives with a structural rule in an unprincipled way that hides e . This seems to me to muddy waters that usual presentations of the sequent calculus strive to keep clear. What matters in the present context is that we are dealing with a deductive calculus \hat{e} elegant and nicely motivated or otherwise \hat{e} which has certain key features which allow the completeness proof to go through. But Manzano, not unusually, just offers one deductive system in a take-it-or-leave-it spirit, and proves completeness for that. And how does the Henkin-style proof go? Manzano first proves that if Σ is syntactically consistent \hat{e} where Σ is set of formulas of a countable language, and with only a finite number of variables occurring free \hat{e} then has a countable model. Why the uncommon initial restriction to a finite number of free variables? That rather neatly avoids the usual dodge of having to add infinitely many new names to the language by instead using the infinite supply of variables which are there already. If she cares about this neatness, it is a pity that when Manzano moves on to lift the restriction on the number of variables initially appearing free in Σ she now adds an infinite number of names. Otherwise, the proof goes in pretty much the familiar way, i. Then a term model is produced \hat{e} and Manzano likes many authors goes immediately for a normal model for a language with identity which adds a layer of complexity taking quotients. With the countable case proved, Manzano then explains rather well what has to be done to extend the proof to show that a consistent set of wffs from an uncountable language has a model. Apart perhaps from the rather richer diet of examples of structures, what we have so far will be broadly familiar to readers who have done a serious FOL course which goes up to the completeness proof. The chapter then continues to discuss the notion of a theory, the ideas of a theory of a class of models and the class of models of a theory, and finishes with a first look at the notion of a diagram of a theory. One presentational glitch I noticed: The next two chapters are really the core of the book: There follows the usual catalogue of

initial consequences of compactness ϵ . Again a little more motivational chat at various points might have been nice, and the remarks on second-order theories at the top of p. But overall a decent treatment. The discussion proceeds without any explanation of why this should be significant or other motivational pointers. At the risk of getting repetitious, it has to be said that Manzano again delivers this with only a little motivational guidance as she goes along: Also it would have been good to pause for some discussion comparing the different explanatory gains from the different approaches to the compactness theorem. That explains, perhaps, her earlier insouciance about ϵ . And the book ends with a detailed discussion of the completeness and decidability of the theory for the structure of natural numbers with successor. So to a summary verdict: Standing back from the details, I do very much like the way that Manzano has structured her book. The sequencing of chapters makes for a very natural path through her material, and the coverage seems very appropriate for a book at her intended level. The general level of difficulty remains broadly constant through the book: The presentations are in themselves mostly pretty clear if you work at them; mathematicians should have few problems, while philosophers who have happily managed a serious FOL course but lack much mathematical background might need to resort to Wikipedia occasionally but should otherwise cope too. So while, by the standards of many mathematical logic books, this is quite a reader-friendly text, it could have been quite a bit more so. Still, though not ideal few books are! The Spanish edition appears to be out-of-print and the online used listings I can find in Spain and the USA are even more expensive.

Chapter 2 : Model Theory : An Introduction : David Marker :

This book is a modern introduction to model theory which stresses applications to algebra throughout the text. The first half of the book includes classical material on model construction techniques, type spaces, prime models, saturated models, countable models, and indiscernibles and their.

Springer Graduate Texts in Mathematics Introduction Model theory is a branch of mathematical logic where we study mathematical structures by considering the first-order sentences true in those structures and the sets definable by first-order formulas. Traditionally there have been two principal themes in the subject: Tarski showed that the theory of the real field is decidable. More recently, Wilkie extended these ideas to prove that sets definable in the real exponential field are also well-behaved. This line has been extended by Shelah, who has developed deep general classification results. For some time, these two themes seemed like opposing directions in the subject, but over the last decade or so we have come to realize that there are fascinating connections between these two lines. Classical mathematical structures, such as groups and fields, arise in surprising ways when we study general classification problems, and ideas developed in abstract settings have surprising applications to concrete mathematical structures. My goal was to write an introductory text in model theory that, in addition to developing the basic material, illustrates the abstract and applied directions of the subject and the interaction of these two programs. Chapter 1 begins with the basic definitions and examples of languages, structures, and theories. Most of this chapter is routine, but, because studying definability and interpretability is one of the main themes of the subject, I have included some nontrivial examples. This is a rather technical idea that will not be needed until Chapter 6 and can be omitted on first reading. The first results of the subject, the Compactness Theorem and the Lowenheim--Skolem Theorem, are introduced in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 shows how the ideas from Chapter 2 can be used to develop a model-theoretic test for quantifier elimination. We then prove quantifier elimination for the fields of real and complex numbers and use these results to study definable sets. Chapters 4 and 5 are devoted to the main model-building tools of classical model theory. We begin by introducing types and then study structures built by either realizing or omitting types. In particular, we study prime, saturated, and homogeneous models. The methods of Sections 4. The first two sections of Chapter 5 are devoted to basic results on indiscernibles. Indiscernibles also later play an important role in Section 6. The Categoricity Theorem can be thought of as the beginning of modern model theory and the rest of the book is devoted to giving the flavor of the subject. In this context, forking has a concrete explanation in terms of Morley rank. One can quickly develop some general tools and then move on to see their applications. These ideas are applied in Sections 6. Chapters 7 and 8 are intended to give a quick but, I hope, seductive glimpse at some current directions in the subject. It is often interesting to study algebraic objects with additional model-theoretic hypotheses. Chapter 8 begins with a seemingly abstract discussion of the combinatorial geometry of algebraic closure on strongly minimal sets, but we see in Section 8. Because I was trying to write an introductory text rather than an encyclopedic treatment, I have had to make a number of ruthless decisions about what to include and what to omit. Some interesting topics, such as ultraproducts, recursive saturation, and models of arithmetic, are relegated to the exercises. I have also frequently chosen to present theorems in special cases when, in fact, we know much more general results. Not everyone would agree with these choices. The Reader While writing this book I had in mind three types of readers: For the graduate student in model theory, this book should provide a firm foundation in the basic results of the subject while whetting the appetite for further exploration. My hope is that the applications given in Chapters 7 and 8 will excite students and lead them to read the advanced texts of Baldwin, Buechler, Pillay and Poizat. The graduate student in logic outside of model theory should, in addition to learning the basics, get an idea of some of the main directions of the modern subject. For the mathematician interested in applications, I have tried to illustrate several of the ways that model theory can be a useful tool in analyzing classical mathematical structures. In Chapter 3, we develop the method of quantifier elimination and show how it can be used to prove results about algebraically closed fields and real closed fields. One of the areas where model-theoretic ideas have had the most fruitful impact is differential algebra.

In Chapter 4, we introduce differentially closed fields. Chapters 6, 7, and 8 contain a number of illustrations of the impact of stability-theoretic ideas on differential algebra. In particular, in Section 7. We also use these ideas to give more information about algebraically closed fields. Prerequisites Chapter 1 begins with the basic definitions of languages and structures. Although a mathematically sophisticated reader with little background in mathematical logic should be able to read this book, I expect that most readers will have seen this material before. Appendix A summarizes all of this material. More sophisticated ideas from combinatorial set theory are needed in Chapter 5 but are developed completely in the text. Many of the applications and examples that we will investigate come from algebra. The ideal reader will have had a year-long graduate algebra course and be comfortable with the basics about groups, commutative rings, and fields. Because I suspect that many readers will not have encountered the algebra of formally real fields that is essential in Section 3. Ideally the reader will have also seen some elementary algebraic geometry, but we introduce this material as needed. Using This Book as a Text I suspect that in most courses where this book is used as a text, the students will have already seen most of the material in Sections 1. A reasonable one-semester course would cover Sections 2. In a year-long course, one has the luxury of picking and choosing extra topics from the remaining text. My own choices would certainly include Sections 3. Exercises and Remarks Each chapter ends with a section of exercises and remarks. The exercises range from quite easy to quite challenging. Some of the exercises develop important ideas that I would have included in a longer text. I have left some important results as exercises because I think students will benefit by working them out. Occasionally, I refer to a result or example from the exercises later in the text. Some exercises will require more comfort with algebra, computability, or set theory than I assume in the rest of the book. I mark those exercises with a dagger. The Remarks sections have two purposes. I make some historical remarks and attributions. With a few exceptions, I tend to give references to secondary sources with good presentations rather than the original source. I also use the Remarks section to describe further results and give suggestions for further reading. Acknowledgments My approach to model theory has been greatly influenced by many discussions with my teachers, colleagues, collaborators, students, and friends. Finally, I, like every model theorist of my generation, learned model theory from two wonderful books, C. My debt to them for their elegant presentations of the subject will be clear to anyone who reads this book.

Chapter 3 : Manzano Model Theory - Logic MattersLogic Matters

This is intended to be an introduction to abstract and applied model theory. It assumes a mathematical logic course and a year of graduate algebra, preferably with Shoenfield and Lang.

Particularly the proof of the independence of the continuum hypothesis requires considering sets in models which appear to be uncountable when viewed from within the model, but are countable to someone outside the model. In the other direction, model theory itself can be formalized within ZFC set theory. The development of the fundamentals of model theory such as the compactness theorem rely on the axiom of choice, or more exactly the Boolean prime ideal theorem. Other results in model theory depend on set-theoretic axioms beyond the standard ZFC framework. For example, if the Continuum Hypothesis holds then every countable model has an ultrapower which is saturated in its own cardinality. Similarly, if the Generalized Continuum Hypothesis holds then every model has a saturated elementary extension. Neither of these results are provable in ZFC alone. Finally, some questions arising from model theory such as compactness for infinitary logics have been shown to be equivalent to large cardinal axioms. Other basic notions[edit] Main article: Reduct A field or a vector space can be regarded as a commutative group by simply ignoring some of its structure. The corresponding notion in model theory is that of a reduct of a structure to a subset of the original signature. The opposite relation is called an expansion - e. The terms reduct and expansion are sometimes applied to this relation as well. Interpretation model theory Given a mathematical structure, there are very often associated structures which can be constructed as a quotient of part of the original structure via an equivalence relation. An important example is a quotient group of a group. One might say that to understand the full structure one must understand these quotients. When the equivalence relation is definable, we can give the previous sentence a precise meaning. We say that these structures are interpretable. A key fact is that one can translate sentences from the language of the interpreted structures to the language of the original structure. Thus one can show that if a structure M interprets another whose theory is undecidable , then M itself is undecidable. This is the heart of model theory as it lets us answer questions about theories by looking at models and vice versa. One should not confuse the completeness theorem with the notion of a complete theory. A complete theory is a theory that contains every sentence or its negation. Importantly, one can find a complete consistent theory extending any consistent theory. In particular, the theory of natural numbers has no recursive complete and consistent theory. Non-recursive theories are of little practical use, since it is undecidable if a proposed axiom is indeed an axiom, making proof-checking a supertask. The compactness theorem states that a set of sentences S is satisfiable if every finite subset of S is satisfiable. In the context of proof theory the analogous statement is trivial, since every proof can have only a finite number of antecedents used in the proof. In the context of model theory, however, this proof is somewhat more difficult. Model theory is usually concerned with first-order logic , and many important results such as the completeness and compactness theorems fail in second-order logic or other alternatives. In first-order logic all infinite cardinals look the same to a language which is countable.

Chapter 4 : Orsay Lectures: Model Theory for Algebra and Algebraic Geometry

For those not interested in becoming model theorists, but interested in picking up some interesting model theory and applications to their own branch of mathematics, this is the ideal book. Marker's text is much better suited to this sort of study than, say, Chang and Keisler's text or the more modern book by Hodges.

Chapter 5 : Model Theory: An Introduction by David Marker

Model Theory has 12 ratings and 0 reviews. Assumes only a familiarity with algebra at the beginning graduate level; Stresses applications to algebra; III.

Chapter 6 : Model Theory: An Introduction

Model Theory: an Introduction David Marker Springer Graduate Texts in Mathematics Introduction Model theory is a branch of mathematical logic where we study mathematical structures by considering the first-order sentences true in those structures and the sets definable by first-order formulas.

Chapter 7 : David Marker's Home Page

Model Theory, Algebra, and Geometry MSRI Publications Volume 39, Introduction to Model Theory DAVID MARKER Abstract. This article introduces some of the basic concepts and results.

Chapter 8 : David Marker, "Model Theory: An Introduction" - Free eBooks Download

The author also includes an introduction to stability theory beginning with Morley's Categoricity Theorem and concentrating on omega-stable theories. One significant aspect of this text is the inclusion of chapters on important topics not covered in other introductory texts, such as omega-stable groups and the geometry of strongly minimal sets.

Chapter 9 : Model Theory : An Introduction - David Marker - Google Books

Assumes only a familiarity with algebra at the beginning graduate level; Stresses applications to algebra; Illustrates several of the ways Model Theory can be a useful tool in analyzing classical mathematical structures.