

DOWNLOAD PDF BERNIE SANDERS VOTER AND SEXISM ACADEMIC RESEARCH

Chapter 1 : When Bernie Sanders ran against me in Vermont - The Boston Globe

Because this study was run before Bernie Sanders officially conceded to Hillary Clinton, evaluations of Trump, Clinton, and Sanders were captured. Subjects were asked to indicate which candidate they would most likely vote for on the presidential ballot in the fall.

Bernie Sanders of Vermont inspired millions of loyal supporters, some of whom chose not to support Hillary Clinton in the general election in Fully 12 percent of people who voted for Sen. That is according to the data from the Cooperative Congressional Election Study â€” a massive election survey of around 50, people. What drove those voters to Trump? Schaffner dug into that, as well. Party seems to have had something to do with it â€” Sanders-Trump voters were much less likely than Sanders-Clinton or Sanders-third party voters to have been Democrats. Likewise, approval of President Barack Obama appears to be related â€” Sanders-Trump voters approved of Obama much less than other Sanders primary voters. And then there is race. Nearly half of Sanders-Trump voters disagree with the idea that "white people have advantages. And another postelection study â€” co-authored by Schaffner â€” found a "relatively strong indication that racism and sexism were more important in than they had been in previous elections. By this data, yes â€” there are enough of those Sanders-Trump voters who could have potentially swung the election toward Clinton and away from Trump. Specifically, if the Sanders-Trump voters in Michigan, Wisconsin and Pennsylvania had voted for Clinton, or even stayed home on Election Day, those states would have swung to Clinton, and she would have won 46 more electoral votes, putting her at â€” enough to win, in other words. If the Sanders-Trump voters in these three states had defected and if nothing else had happened to somehow take electoral votes from Clinton elsewhere and if this survey is correct Some would also argue that if Clinton had campaigned more in the so-called "blue wall" states, she also could have picked up more votes. Believing that all those Sanders voters somehow should have been expected to not vote for Trump may be to misunderstand how primary voters behave. John Kasich voters and 11 percent of Florida Sen. Marco Rubio voters ended up voting for Clinton. And according to one study, around 25 percent of Clinton primary voters in that election ended up voting for Sen. In addition, the data showed 13 percent of McCain primary voters ended up voting for Obama, and 9 percent of Obama voters ended up voting for McCain â€” perhaps signaling something that swayed voters between primaries and the general election, or some amount of error in the data, or both. All of that said, one other figure that stuck out to Schaffner: Compared with those numbers above, Clinton voters were remarkably loyal â€” "I found basically no Clinton primary voters who voted for Trump," he told NPR in an email. When will it end? Data like this might seem like yet another step in what will be an endless election relitigation.

Chapter 2 : The Myths Democrats Swallowed That Cost Them the Presidential Election

With Hillary Clinton increasingly threatened by Vermont Sen. Bernie Sanders, the battle for the Democratic nomination has turned into a gender war. The media are abuzz with tales of online.

Plans for a 10th one, scheduled for May 24, were abandoned after it became mathematically impossible for Sanders to win the nomination. The conspiracy theory embraced by Bernie-or-Busters that the DNC-sponsored debates were all held on nights when no one would watch is completely false, Kurt Eichenwald argues. There were also 13 forums, sponsored by other organizations. Compare that with This was a big deal why? The next conspiracy theory embraced by Bernie-or-Busters was that the DNC-sponsored debates were all held on nights no one would watch. Two took place on a Saturday, two on Sunday, three on a Thursday, one on a Tuesday and one on a Wednesday. Not including any of the forums, there were 72 million viewers for the DNC-sponsored debates, almost the same amount—75 million viewers—as there were for every debate in , including those sponsored by other organizations. And those Saturday debates, which Sanders fans howled no one would watch, were the third- and fifth-most watched debates one of them was 3 percent away from being the fourth-most watched. In other words, the argument that the DNC rigged the debates is, by any rational analysis, garbage. For those who still believe it, hats made of tin foil are available on Amazon. Perhaps nothing has been more frustrating for people in the politics business to address, because the conspiracy is based on ignorance. Even in the most ridiculous of dream worlds, Sanders could not have possibly won the nomination after May 3—at that point, he needed more pledged delegates, but there were only available in the remaining contests. And political pros could tell by the delegate math that the race was over on April 19, since a victory would require him to win almost every single delegate after that, something no rational person could believe. Sanders voters proclaimed that superdelegates, elected officials and party regulars who controlled thousands of votes, could flip their support and instead vote for the candidate with the fewest votes. In other words, they wanted the party to overthrow the will of the majority of voters. That Sanders fans were wishing for an establishment overthrow of the electorate more common in banana republics or dictatorships is obscene. Sanders supporters also made a big deal out of the fact that many of the superdelegates had expressed support for Clinton early in the campaign. They did the same thing in , then switched to Obama when he won the most pledged delegates. Same thing would have happened with Sanders if he had persuaded more people to vote for him. This is important because it shows Sanders supporters were tricked into believing a false narrative. Of course emails from that time would reflect support for the person who would clearly be the nominee. And given that their jobs are to elect Democrats, of course DNC officials were annoyed that Sanders would not tell his followers he could not possibly be the nominee. According to a Western European intelligence source, Russian hackers, using a series of go-betweens, transmitted the DNC emails to WikiLeaks with the intent of having them released on the verge of the Democratic Convention in hopes of sowing chaos. Crews of Russian propagandists—working through an array of Twitter accounts and websites, started spreading the story that the DNC had stolen the election from Sanders. An analysis provided to Newsweek by independent internet and computer specialists using a series of algorithms show that this kind of propaganda, using the same words, went from Russian disinformation sources to comment sections on more than sites catering to liberals, conservatives, white supremacists, nutritionists and an amazing assortment of other interest groups. Yes, one of them said something inappropriate about his religious beliefs. Two other emails—one from April 24 and May 1—were statements of fact.

DOWNLOAD PDF BERNIE SANDERS VOTER AND SEXISM ACADEMIC RESEARCH

Chapter 3 : Here's How Many Bernie Sanders Supporters Ultimately Voted For Trump | WBUR News

A man dressed as "Bernie Warrior" stands outside a campaign event of Democratic presidential candidate Sen. Bernie Sanders at Grand View University, Jan. 31, in Des Moines, Iowa.

Sanders, who is running as a Democrat, rightfully condemned the fact that "one family owns more wealth than the bottom million Americans" and cited the Fight for 15 campaign as a source of inspiration. Although skeptical about calling Sanders a "socialist," I wondered whether he could be a vehicle for our side to fight back. And of course, I was eager to meet the man who hung a portrait of Eugene Debs one of my own radical idols on his wall. I spent that summer in two alternate worlds. THE FIGHT around the F came to define the political moment in Vermont that summer, with debate raging between activists fighting the imperial, economic and environmental consequences of the Fs, and the Democratic Party operatives forcing the basing on the small Vermont town, with the full support of the Congressional delegation--including Bernie Sanders. The basing of the Fs promised to have devastating consequences for Vermonters, including plummeting home values and adverse health impacts that would disproportionately impact minority and low-income populations. This is not to mention the grotesqueness of the deal itself, with trillions of dollars being spent on aircraft meant to bomb foreign countries, instead of on schools, infrastructure or health care--a contradiction that one would assume Bernie would acknowledge. Constituent after constituent poured into the office to express dismay at the F proposal, recounting stories of their home values being destroyed or of the terror that their child faced hearing bombers in school every day. As both an activist and an intern, I was forced to choose whether to stand with the people of Vermont or with a politician who remained out of touch with grassroots activism. I ultimately found myself protesting my own boss at a Vermont Democratic Party fundraiser, dodging the gazes of my co-workers and putting my job on the line. This continuous tug between the two forces continued throughout the summer. I bit my tongue as I worked through ribbon cuttings and town halls, while struggling to remain involved in political organizing beyond Sanders and the Democrats. I still looked to Sanders for a political lead, hoping to eventually understand his political end game. What did he have to say about the occupation of Palestine? What did he think of our continuing imperialist interventions in the Middle East? It only takes a brief search to uncover his ardent support for Israeli apartheid, his repeated authorizations of funding for the U. Needless to say, Sanders was not the anti-imperialist, anti-capitalist folk hero I had hoped he would be. Those on the left can and should support candidates with whom they may not share agreement on every political issue, but with whom they can work to build an independent left that begins to challenge the hegemonic political structure. Even before Sanders declared he was running as a Democrat in this presidential election cycle, he caucused with the Democratic Party in the U. When it comes down to it, Bernie does not stand on the side of the oppressed or exploited on principle, but only when it falls in line with his political project. I can only speak to my own experience working in the office of Bernie Sanders, but after a few months, it became clear to me that electoral politics so closely tied to the Democratic Party could only be a dead end for those seeking revolutionary change. The experience was one of the key reasons I eventually joined the International Socialist Organization, which had a clear vision of working class self-emancipation. My own experience is a miniscule example of the choices the left will face come next year, when Sanders throws his full support behind Hillary Clinton as the eventual Democratic presidential nominee, as he has every intention of doing. In the here and now, we must strategically decide the best way to spend our limited political capital. Principled activists who throw their political efforts into "Bernie for President" will find themselves orienting on the Democratic Party, rather than on the numbers of people who are beginning to come to radical conclusions. The openings for radical politics in this moment are apparent, whether we throw ourselves into the nationwide rebellion against police brutality and racism, or join the fight in schools against standardized testing, or walk the picket line with striking oil workers. These are strategies that aim to build a left in the U. As a friend recently reminded people on Facebook, using the words of Eugene Debs, "[I]f you

DOWNLOAD PDF BERNIE SANDERS VOTER AND SEXISM ACADEMIC RESEARCH

are looking for a Moses to lead you out of this capitalist wilderness, you will stay right where you are. I would not lead you into the promised land if I could, because if I led you in, someone else would lead you out. We must build it for ourselves. It turns out that Sanders does have a picture of Eugene Debs in his office--though one can only imagine what Debs would have to say to Bernie today.

DOWNLOAD PDF BERNIE SANDERS VOTER AND SEXISM ACADEMIC RESEARCH

Chapter 4 : What I learned about Bernie Sanders | blog.quintoapp.com

Suggesting that difficulty securing the white male vote comes from a place of sexism, but in they still prefer both white male contenders Bernie Sanders and Donald Trump to a potential.

Writer The Bernie vs. Hillary Battle All Boils Down to Sexism A straight white man "fighting for the rights of women" will never, ever mean as much as a woman earning the opportunity to fight for the rights of women, the opportunity to lead both men and women, and the opportunity to run the country. As it becomes more possible that Hillary Clinton could well be our first female president, sexism in the United States is made apparent to me in ways it never was before. None are supporting Hillary. And therein lies the sexist rub: I know lots of young women who are voting for and vocally supporting Sanders. Do you personally know even one straight white man backing Clinton in this election? In February of this year, feminist luminaries Gloria Steinem and Madeleine Albright were publicly shamed for asserting that young female Sanders supporters are siding with Bernie because the boys they know have sided with Bernie. As The New York Times reported in March, white men have been the only demographic to consistently resist voting for Hillary during the primaries. Suggesting that difficulty securing the white male vote comes from a place of sexism, The Times noted this particular issue for Hillary is, "a sharp turnabout from, when she won double-digit victories among white male voters The Washington Post verified these statistics last week, stating: One of the groups that votes against Hillary Clinton most consistently is white men. In 20 of 23 contests for which we have exit poll data, white men have preferred Sanders to Clinton In Vermont, Sanders saw one of his most dominant demographic performances: White men in the state favored him by 83 percentage points over Clinton. We can look at it another way. In, white men are the only gender-race combination to overwhelmingly favor Sanders over Clinton. White men back Sanders by In, white men voted more for Clinton than Obama -- but were The Times also asked the men they interviewed why they are not supporting Clinton and, "a few said they did not think a woman should be commander in chief. Veteran Democratic pollster Peter Heart added, "Her most serious relationship problem is with white men, on a policy issue front but also stylistically, and she is at real risk for running worse than the average Democrat with white males. We have to include the possibility of Hillary reminding straight white male voters of their wives, moms, and sisters if only on a subconscious level -- people who perhaps they traditionally did not consider serious contenders for the presidency, let alone as people who might be able to truly hold office over them in any capacity. Thus, the common denominator is not political party, but being a straight white male. One such Bernie backer is Spencer Thayer, a straight white male who started wontvotehillary. Thayer is encouraging Sanders supporters to vote against Hillary at all costs, even if it means a Trump presidency. Thayer is a self-described activist and artist who very well could have just made the website for personal PR purposes. Sanders and Trump supporters have continuously fallen back on the same two talking points when it comes to knocking Clinton: It is an argument that inherently brings up the question of sexism when Democratically beloved President Obama has also swung to the side of super PACs but has not been criticized for it. It is also important to note that Hillary has been gunning for president at least since college, if not her whole life -- meaning longer than Obama could have been before she ran against him in, and longer than Bernie has been, at least in total number of years spent campaigning for the presidency. Hillary is a pre-Bernie and pre-Trump politician. And if she were suddenly a dark horse former First Lady springing onto the scene, declaring she was running for president as a no-super PAC candidate, would anyone take her seriously or give a shit? Our first ever white female candidate does not have such privilege. The hardest thing about laying out these points in one place is not the thought of sharing them with the Trump and Bernie-supporting men in my life, who I actually think will listen to and consider each point fairly. Just ask Lena Dunham: When it comes to sexism in this election, which has been created by both men and women, the people who have wanted to see it least have been women. Young democratic women who do not yet appreciate how much straight white males consciously or subconsciously resist change that pertains to

DOWNLOAD PDF BERNIE SANDERS VOTER AND SEXISM ACADEMIC RESEARCH

the advancement of women do not yet fully understand the difference in being a woman who has fought tooth-and-nail her entire life for the presidency vs. Do you have information you want to share with HuffPost?

DOWNLOAD PDF BERNIE SANDERS VOTER AND SEXISM ACADEMIC RESEARCH

Chapter 5 : Search - Rasmussen Reports®

Research shows that voters prefer candidates with lower-pitched voices, regardless of the gender of the candidate and that women with lower-pitched voices are perceived as more dominant.

Campaign heads to N. Thirty years later, women and men assume that gender no longer matters in politics. Now only 8 percent of voters would declare in a poll that they would not vote for a woman president. Women, it turns out, are influenced by gender bias to almost the same degree as men. For example, both Clinton and Sanders have declared they are favor paid maternity and sick leave, and equal pay for equal work. What sets them apart? I believe it is both style and substance. Sanders can shout his message and wave his arms for emphasis. Advertisement An angry female voice works against women but is a plus for men. It demonstrates passion, outrage and power. Sanders bristled when he was accused of sexism after he implied that Clinton was among the shouters. Ironically, it is he who has, according to his doctor, suffered from laryngitis. Gender adds muscle to substance. How will a female president differ from the men who have ruled the world? As a new legislator, my first bill introduced in the Vermont House was to increase funding for childcare. I had young children and I knew that finding childcare determined whether or not I could leave my house and come to the capital, Montpelier. And I knew, that for poor women, childcare determined whether they could go to work and support their children. As governor, I saw to it that childcare funding was quadrupled and funding for education doubled. A number of men will protest: The difference is how do they rank on the agenda. Is equal pay near the bottom of the list, or is it a priority? Placement on a competitive agenda is vital to achieve results. I believe that Hillary Clinton will give high priority to equal pay for equal work, not because she has experienced discrimination herself, but as a woman, she can empathize with women who have been discriminated against. It is a kind of empathy that allows no definition, but I felt it every time I made eye contact with the women I met along the parade route or on the factory floor. One of the criticisms Clinton has received is that she is not authentic, that she is too political. In part because he is a man, he can run as the ultimate outsider. Ever since women got the vote, we believed, like the good students we are, that the path to political participation, as instructed years ago by the League of Women Voters, was to be informed, understand the system and play by the rules. That responsibility did not rule out reform, but it did crimp revolution. When I campaigned for governor, I believed that I had to assure voters that I would not be that different from the male governors who had preceded me, even when I knew that I would be. Being the first woman and a revolutionary would be too much for the voters to swallow. Sanders is brave, pairing Socialist with Democrat. And I agree with him on the growing cancer in America of income inequality and a democracy-threatening campaign finance system. He is a bold truth teller, and I am grateful that he has changed the conversation. He makes the answers sound easy, which in turn, makes him look authentic. But the answers are not simple. Nuance is not welcomed. To succeed, she has to play the game as it has always been played, but at the same time, play it differently. When we elected the first African American as President, we believed that an African American man would be revolutionary and bring us hope. Barack Obama, in many ways, has changed the rules, and had new priorities on his agenda, but not to the extent that some voters had hoped and others had feared. Still, the world seen through the eyes of a black man looks different than through those of a white man. And the world as seen through the eyes of a woman will not result in revolution, but it will mark a change towards greater gender equality. Visualizing Hillary raising her right hand to take the oath of office, and Bill holding the Bible, will tell every little girl and boy, that, yes, women can achieve anything.

DOWNLOAD PDF BERNIE SANDERS VOTER AND SEXISM ACADEMIC RESEARCH

Chapter 6 : In , Racism Was Down But Sexism Was Up Among Republican Voters | MassCentral

Sen. Bernie Sanders on CBS. CBS Sen. Bernie Sanders on Monday lamented Hillary Clinton's failure to appeal to the white working-class voters who helped propel President-elect Donald Trump to the.

But those who "do their own research" knew then that the movement had many contests left to win, and they know now that 19 states and 1, delegates are still available. Democratic presidential candidate, U. The Rhode Island primary is April After weeks of inspiration and joy, there was anger and sadness this past week in the Bernie Sanders movement. Yes, there have also been countless instances of blatant propaganda in the corporate media, most galling perhaps the ones appearing daily in the New York Times and NPR -- the so-called "N-axis" -- where many thoughtful urban Democrats get their news. The Elephant in the Room The obstacle that the movement has to confront right now is something else: Some people will stop reading this post right here, skip down to the comments section, and fire off about "conspiracy theories" and "sore losers. Rant if you must. He has won eight of the last 10 contests, even if we accept these deeply flawed New York results. He has been rapidly climbing in the national polls while Hillary Clinton has been declining; from double digits down, Bernie is now tied or ahead by as many as five points. The polls also show Bernie is the more electable candidate in a race against any of the likely Republican nominees. Among independents -- you know, the ones not allowed to vote in New York but who will determine the winner of the general election -- Sanders is the clear winner. Sanders has maintained a double digit lead over Trump for months. Clinton now leads Trump by only three points -- the margin of error -- and is losing to the other Republican candidates. Bernie is the one national candidate who people like the more they get to know him. As people learn more about Clinton, Trump, and Cruz, they like them less. As the country learns more about Bernie, they like him more. Looking at the current trends, one would predict that Bernie Sanders will be the most popular politician in the country come November, just as he is now. Whichever party gets to nominate him will win the general election. The Clinton campaign sees this too. They do have a delegate lead, but they know Bernie is on the rise and that 1, delegates have yet to vote. They know that with every passing day his odds increase. Bernie will continue inspiring this movement and continue working to win this nomination precisely because he can win. A similarity between the two states is disturbing. In both states, massive voter roll changes and purges took place in the days and weeks leading up to the primary, disqualifying thousands upon thousands of new Democratic voters. One current delegate map shows Nevada for Bernie and Wyoming tied. Every western state that has voted is now a Bernie state. Every state, that is, except Arizona, which had only 60 polling places open for 1. Wyoming, where Bernie won the popular vote but ended the first-round caucus tied in delegates, will likely also flip to Bernie after the second-round caucus. With all the voter suppression, and with all neighboring states favoring Bernie, it seems likely Bernie might very well have won Arizona too with a fair election. Some have pointed out that Republicans led the effort to reduce the polling places and to reject the provisional ballots in Arizona. This is true, but if we actually delve into how the polling and the voting unfolded there, we learn that the Clinton campaign knew in advance that they had a lead in the early and mail-in voting, but that the polls were beginning to favor Bernie, so an obvious strategy would have been to depress election day turnout. They no doubt feared Bernie could win on election day. The long lines and voter roll purges did indeed depress election day turnout, but Bernie did win on election day. Whether they planned it or not, the Clinton campaign certainly benefited from voter suppression. If Republicans alone were responsible, one would expect to hear the Clinton campaign condemn the voter suppression, and, for the sake of fairness and democracy, call for a revote. At the least the Clinton campaign might have joined the Sanders campaign in calling for a recount that included the provisional ballots. Hillary instead immediately and unequivocally accepted the flawed victory and said nothing that night while watching Utah and Idaho deliver point wins for Bernie. As in Arizona, thousands of voters in New York were simply removed from voter rolls all over the state in the weeks leading up to the election. In the most egregious case, a single county removed ,

DOWNLOAD PDF BERNIE SANDERS VOTER AND SEXISM ACADEMIC RESEARCH

voters from the voter rolls, and this was an important county -- the county in which Bernie Sanders was born and raised. The voter suppression in New York has been reported in many places, the Attorney General has already launched an investigation, and some people on the Board of Elections have been fired. But many see the steps taken so far as a coverup. The investigation is being led by a delegate for Hillary Clinton, raising an obvious conflict of interest. There are reasons to believe the people fired were mere scapegoats. And the provisional ballots still have not been counted. The only counties that voted with a significant margin for Hillary Clinton -- and thus enabled her victory -- were the counties in and around New York City. But we know that the Democratic National Committee establishment has shown repeatedly that they favor Hillary Clinton, and we also know that New York City politics are infamous for corruption and are controlled primarily by the local Democratic Party. To a neutral observer there is a prima facie case for a deeper investigation here, even before we talk about the exit polls and voting machines. Thus it was to the great surprise of many experts that an hour later the results came back as a 16 percent statewide win for Hillary Clinton. While nothing is universally accurate when it comes to democracy, exit polls are viewed as highly reliable assessments of likely final results because they ask people who have just voted, rather than people who intend to vote at some point in the future. Exit polls are widely respected and the vast majority of the time they are correct, within their margins of error. In fact, in countries around the globe, exit polls are used as early indicators of possible election fraud. This variance of percent between the exit polls and the recorded vote totals is way outside the margin of error. Mathematical analysis of this variance reveals that the probability of this happening by chance is 1: Which is to say, essentially impossible. Why do we assume the voting machines in New York City are honest? Is it too scary to contemplate? Perhaps for many of us it is. But someone has to ask this question, and someone has to provide an ironclad answer. If we just choose to look the other way, election after election, we are living in a lie, not a democracy. My friends, the emperor has no clothes. There must be a manual recount of 5 percent of paper ballots in New York City to determine the truth. I hope to be proven wrong, but I suspect that we will find the same type of fraud that was just uncovered in Chicago. Protect Democracy and Call for Manual Recounts People in every era of our troubled but brave American history have died for the right to vote. All patriotic Americans should call for recounts. Hillary Clinton must call for a manual recount of paper ballots. Bernie Sanders must do so as well. For potential unity inside the Democratic Party, and so that we can all regard both candidates as trustworthy and democratic, we must have fair counts in Arizona and New York, and we must have reasonably fair elections in the states yet to vote. Now, do I think Hillary Clinton will ask for recounts? This desperation will likely be their undoing. How can she blithely claim victory in New York, for example, when her total victory was by approximately, votes, the same number of voters who were disenfranchised by the voter purges? A responsible leader in a time like this might say, "As a nominee for the Democratic nomination, I join all Americans in recognizing that there were flaws in this election. The State Attorney General has launched an investigation. For the good of our democracy and our party, I will fully support a recount or any other remedies the investigation recommends. If voter suppression on the magnitude of Arizona and New York continues, and goes unopposed, then yes, Hillary Clinton will claim the nomination. But the Democratic Party will be in sorry shape indeed. Fair Elections Will Transform the Democratic Party and Elect Bernie Sanders More likely, by winning in states with less corruption and fraud -- and by challenging fraud where it occurs -- the Sanders campaign will continue to rack up wins, delegates, and points in the polls. Bernie will arrive at the convention roughly tied on pledged delegates, perhaps ahead or behind by a few dozen, and with significant momentum. In that case the choice before the Democratic Party and the superdelegates will be to nominate a surging Bernie Sanders with lots of money who obliterates the Republicans in the polls, or to nominate a slumping Hillary Clinton and her string of losses, scandals and weak poll numbers who clings to one positive attribute -- that she is a woman. It will be a momentous choice. As a country and a planet, we have so much that needs swift and serious reform -- the banking system, the environment, the destructive trade deals, racist criminal justice, profit-driven wars in the Middle East. Millions know this and many will turn up in Philadelphia for the

DOWNLOAD PDF BERNIE SANDERS VOTER AND SEXISM ACADEMIC RESEARCH

convention. The Sanders campaign just needs to keep pushing despite the fact that the three facets of the political power system are arrayed against him. The corporate media, the Democratic Party, and state elections officials will all suggest that Sanders stops trying to win. The appearance of this last edifice of opposition -- election fraud -- should only strengthen their resolve. As he becomes more popular, if the votes are counted fairly, Bernie Sanders will pull into a lead or a virtual tie in the fight for the nomination in California on June 7. And if the votes are counted fairly then too, we just might watch him in November handily defeat a Republican for the White House. Do you have information you want to share with HuffPost?

Chapter 7 : NY Daily News - We are currently unavailable in your region

Yes, Bernie Sanders supporters who voted for President Trump could have cost Hillary Clinton the election. But then, about the same share of Republican primary voters defected to Clinton.

Chapter 8 : Bernie Sanders Archives - Page 2 of 16 - Presidential Gender Watch

A Vermont Trump campaign chair's efforts to prove Sen. Bernie Sanders' wife committed bank fraud are "sexist," Jane Sanders charged in a new interview. "I find it incredibly sexist that basically.

Chapter 9 : New York Times asks Bernie Sanders if he's 'sexist' to stay in race - Washington Times

Hillary Clinton and Bernie Sanders have had their first real campaign trail brawl, and it's about sexism. It all started when Clinton turned a moment from the Democratic debate, one in which.